

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,394	10/15/2004	Sung Hee Ahn	DKC 1560 2089	
7590 09/14/2005		EXAMINER		
Maria Parrish Tungol			SZEKELY, PETER A	
5820 Fifer Drive Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22303			1714	
		DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/511,394	AHN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Peter Szekely	1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2004.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This							
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.							
Attachment(s)	🗖 .						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/511,394 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 9-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The terminology used in the claims is very confusing. Component (A), the styrenic resin (claim 1), can be a rubber modified polystyrene resin (claim 12), meaning HIPS. On the other hand component (C) in claim 1 is a rubber modified polystyrene copolymer, meaning ABS. The difference between a rubber modified polystyrene resin and a rubber modified polystyrene copolymer would not be apparent to one having ordinary skill in the art, resin, polymer and copolymer are used interchangeably in the art. Differentiation is requested. Furthermore claim 21 contains improper Markush language, because "and/or" is treated like "and".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,394 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

5. Claims 9-13, 15, 16 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohzeki 4,966,814.

6. Ohzeki discloses polyphenylene ether, ABS having 3-9% acrylonitrile based on styrene and acrylonitrile, polystyrene and phosphoric acid ester in claim 1, high impact polystyrene in claim 5, aromatic phosphoric acid esters in claim 6, examples of the aromatic phosphoric acid esters in column 9, lines 46-56 and other additives in column 11, lines 31-44. Less than 40 includes zero (claim 15). Applicants' claims are not novel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,394 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

9. Claims 9-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohzeki 4,966,814, in view of Trementozzi 4,360,618.

- 10. Ohzeki has been discussed already. Trementozzi teaches polyphenylene ether and low acrylonitrile in claim 1, triaryl phosphates in claim 5 and in column 2, lines 6-11 he discusses the substitution of acrylonitrile with methacrylonitrile. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art; at the time the invention was made, to replace the acrylonitrile with methacrylonitrile in the ABS of Ohzeki, since Trementozzi shows their equivalence.
- 11. Ueda et al. 4,599,380 is enclosed as being of interest.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 9/6/05